

Appl. No. : 10/005,313
Filed : December 7, 2001

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Identification of Claims Discussed

The provisional Double Patenting rejection of Claims 1, 2, 30 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. 101, as claiming the same invention as that of Claims 1, 5, 20, 33 and 35 of co-pending Application No. 10/006,619, was discussed during a telephone interview conducted on April 2, 2004..

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

The limitations relating to an “analyte section” which appears in Claims 1, 2, 30 and 32 of the above-captioned application were discussed and distinguished from the limitations relating to an “insert” which appears in the cited claims of co-pending Application No. 10/006,619.

Results of Interview

As a result of the interview, the Examiner noted the distinction between an “analyte section” and an “insert.” The Examiner instructed Applicant to resubmit the objected to claims and agreed to re-consider that Claims 1, 2, 30 and 32 are allowable because they do not claim the same invention as the cited claims of co-pending Application No. 10/006,619.